Bakery Algorithm

Introduction

This algorithm solves the critical section problem for *n* processes in software. The basic idea is that of a bakery; customers take numbers, and whoever has the lowest number gets service next. Here, of course, "service" means entry to the critical section.

Algorithm

```
 1   var        choosing: shared array [0..n-1] of boolean;
 2       number: shared array [0..n-1] of integer;
         …
 3       repeat
 4            choosing[i] := true;
 5            number[i] := max(number[0],number[1],…,number[n-1]) + 1;
 6            choosing[i] := false;
 7            for j := 0 to n-1 do begin
 8                 while choosing[j] do (* nothing *);
 9                 while number[j] <> 0 and
10                           (number[j], j) < (number[i],i) do
11                      (* nothing *);
12            end;
13            (* critical section *)
14            number[i] := 0;
15            (* remainder section *)
16       until false;
```

Comments

lines 1-2: Here, `choosing[i]` is true if P_i is choosing a number. The number that P_i will use to enter the critical section is in `number[i]`; it is 0 if P_i is not trying to enter its critical section.

lines 4-6: These three lines first indicate that the process is choosing a number (line 4), then try to assign a unique number to the process P_i (line 5); however, that does not always happen. Afterwards, P_i indicates it is done (line 6).

lines 7-12: Now we select which process goes into the critical section. P_i waits until it has the lowest number of all the processes waiting to enter the critical section. If two processes have the same number, the one with the smaller name – the value of the subscript – goes in; the notation "(a,b) < (c,d)" means true if a < c or if both a = c and b < d (lines 9-10). Note that if a process is not trying to enter the critical section, its number is 0. Also, if a process is choosing a number when P_i tries to look at it, P_i waits until it has done so before looking (line 8).

line 14: Now P_i is no longer interested in entering its critical section, so it sets `number[i]` to 0.

Bogus Bakery Algorithm

Introduction

Why does Lamport's Bakery algorithm used a variable called *choosing* (see the algorithm, lines 1, 4, 6, and 8)? It is very instructive to see what happens if you leave it out. This gives an example of mutual exclusion being violated if you don't put *choosing* in. But first, the algorithm (with the lines involving *choosing* commented out) so you can see what the modification was:

Algorithm

```
 1   var   (*choosing: shared array [0..n-1] of boolean;          *)
 2         number: shared array [0..n-1] of integer;
         …
 3         repeat
 4   (*          choosing[i] := true;                            *)
 5               number[i] := max(number[0],number[1],…,number[n-1]) + 1;
 6   (*          choosing[i] := false;                           *)
 7               for j := 0 to n-1 do begin
 8   (*              while choosing[j] do ;                      *)
 9                   while number[j] <> 0 and
10                             (number[j], j) < (number[i],i) do
11                       (* nothing *);
12               end;
13               (* critical section *)
14               number[i] := 0;
15               (* remainder section *)
16         until false;
```

Proof of Violation of Mutual Exclusion

Suppose we have two processes just beginning; call them p_0 and p_1. Both reach line 5 at the same time. Now, we'll assume both read *number*[0] and *number*[1] before either addition takes place. Let p_1 complete the line, assigning 1 to *number*[1], but p_0 block before the assignment. Then p_1 gets through the **while** loop at lines 9-11 and enters the critical section. While in the critical section, it blocks; p_0 unblocks, and assigns 1 to *number*[0] at line 5. It proceeds to the while loop at lines 9-11. When it goes through that loop for $j = 1$, the condition on line 9 is true. Further, the condition on line 10 is false, so p_0 enters the critical section. Now p_0 and p_1 are both in the critical section, violating mutual exclusion.

The reason for *choosing* is to prevent the **while** loop in lines 9-11 from being *entered* when process *j* is setting its *number*[*j*]. Note that if the loop is entered and *then* process *j* reaches line 5, one of two situations arises. Either *number*[*j*] has the value 0 when the first test is executed, in which case process *i* moves on to the next process, or *number*[*j*] has a non-zero value, in which case at some point *number*[*j*] will be greater than *number*[*i*] (since process *i* finished executing statement 5 before process *j* began). Either way, process *i* will enter the critical section before process *j*, and when process *j* reaches the while loop, it will loop at least until process *i* leaves the critical section.