

## Outline for January 27, 2006

**Reading:** text, §23.3–23.4

1. Greetings and felicitations!
  - a. Puzzle of the day
2. Vulnerability Models
  - a. PA model
  - b. RISOS
  - c. NRL
  - d. Aslam
3. Example Flaws
  - a. fingerd buffer overflow
  - b. xterm race condition
4. RISOS
  - a. Goal: Aid managers, others in understanding security issues in OSes, and work required to make them more secure
  - b. Incomplete parameter validation—failing to check that a parameter used as an array index is in the range of the array;
  - c. Inconsistent parameter validation—if a routine allowing shared access to files accepts blanks in a file name, but no other file manipulation routine (such as a routine to revoke shared access) will accept them;
  - d. Implicit sharing of privileged/confidential data—sending information by modulating the load average of the system;
  - e. Asynchronous validation/Inadequate serialization—checking a file for access permission and opening it non-atomically, thereby allowing another process to change the binding of the name to the data between the check and the open;
  - f. Inadequate identification/authentication/authorization—running a system program identified only by name, and having a different program with the same name executed;
  - g. Violable prohibition/limit—being able to manipulate data outside one's protection domain; and
  - h. Exploitable logic error—preventing a program from opening a critical file, causing the program to execute an error routine that gives the user unauthorized rights.
5. PA Model (Neumann's organization)
  - a. Goal: develop techniques to search for vulnerabilities that less experienced people could use
  - b. Improper protection (initialization and enforcement)
    - i. Improper choice of initial protection domain—incorrect initial assignment of security or integrity level at system initialization or generation; a security critical function manipulating critical data directly accessible to the user;
    - ii. Improper isolation of implementation detail—allowing users to bypass operating system controls and write to absolute input/output addresses; direct manipulation of a hidden data structure such as a directory file being written to as if it were a regular file; drawing inferences from paging activity
    - iii. Improper change—the time-of-check to time-of-use flaw; changing a parameter unexpectedly;
    - iv. Improper naming—allowing two different objects to have the same name, resulting in confusion over which is referenced;
    - v. Improper deallocation or deletion—leaving old data in memory deallocated by one process and reallocated to another process, enabling the second process to access the information used by the first; failing to end a session properly
  - c. Improper validation—not checking critical conditions and parameters, so a process addresses memory not in its memory space by referencing through an out-of-bounds pointer value; allowing type clashes; overflows
  - d. Improper synchronization
    - i. Improper indivisibility—interrupting atomic operations (e.g. locking); cache inconsistency
    - ii. Improper sequencing—allowing actions in an incorrect order (e.g. reading during writing)
  - e. Improper choice of operand or operation—using unfair scheduling algorithms that block certain processes or users from running; using the wrong function or wrong arguments.

- f. Analysis procedure
  - i. Collect descriptions of protection patterns
  - ii. Convert to raw error patterns
  - iii. Abstract into system-independent components
  - iv. Determine which features in the OS code are relevant, and abstract relevant contexts of those features
  - v. Compare the combinations of the relevant features in the OS with generic error patterns