Access Control Matrix

ECS 153 Spring Quarter 2021

Module 7

Description



objects (entities)

- Subjects $S = \{ s_1, ..., s_n \}$
- Objects $O = \{ o_1, ..., o_m \}$
- Rights $R = \{r_1, ..., r_k\}$
- Entries $A[s_i, o_j] \subseteq R$
- $A[s_i, o_i] = \{r_x, ..., r_y\}$ means subject s_i has rights r_x , ..., r_y over object o_i

- Processes *p*, *q*
- Files *f*, *g*
- Rights r, w, x, a, o

	f	g	р	q
р	rwo	r	rwxo	W
q	а	ro	r	rwxo

- Host names *telegraph*, *nob*, *toadflax*
- Rights own, ftp, nfs, mail

	telegraph	nob	toadflax
telegraph	own	ftp	ftp
nob		ftp, mail, nfs, own	ftp, nfs, mail
toadflax		ftp, mail	ftp, mail, nfs, own

- Procedures *inc_ctr*, *dec_ctr*, *manage*
- Variable *counter*
- Rights +, -, call

	counter	<u>inc_ctr</u>	decctr	manage
inc_ctr	+			
dec_ctr	_			
manager		call	call	call

Boolean Expression Evaluation

- ACM controls access to database fields
 - Subjects have attributes
 - Verbs define type of access
 - Rules associated with objects, verb pair
- Subject attempts to access object
 - Rule for object, verb evaluated, grants or denies access

- Subject annie
 - Attributes *role* (artist), *group* (creative)
- Verb paint
 - Default 0 (deny unless explicitly granted)
- Object picture
 - Rule:

ACM at 3AM and 10AM

At 3AM, time condition met ACM is:

At 10AM, time condition not met ACM is:



State Transitions

- Change the protection state of system
- |- represents transition
 - $X_i \mid -_{\tau} X_{i+1}$: command τ moves system from state X_i to X_{i+1}
 - $X_i \mid -^* Y$: a sequence of commands moves system from state X_i to Y
- Commands often called *transformation procedures*

Primitive Operations

• create subject s; create object o

• Creates new row, column in ACM; creates new column in ACM

• destroy subject s; destroy object o

- Deletes row, column from ACM; deletes column from ACM
- enter r into A[s, o]
 - Adds *r* rights for subject *s* over object *o*
- delete r from A[s, o]
 - Removes r rights from subject s over object o

Create Subject

- Precondition: $s \notin S$
- Primitive command: create subject s
- Postconditions:
 - $S' = S \cup \{s\}, O' = O \cup \{s\}$
 - $(\forall y \in O') [A'[s, y] = \emptyset], (\forall x \in S') [A'[x, s] = \emptyset]$
 - $(\forall x \in S)(\forall y \in O) [A'[x, y] = A[x, y]]$

Create Object

- Precondition: $o \notin O$
- Primitive command: create object o
- Postconditions:
 - $S' = S, O' = O \cup \{o\}$
 - $(\forall x \in S') [A'[x, o] = \emptyset]$
 - $(\forall x \in S)(\forall y \in O) [A'[x, y] = A[x, y]]$

Add Right

- Precondition: $s \in S$, $o \in O$
- Primitive command: enter r into A[s, o]
- Postconditions:
 - S' = S, O' = O
 - $A'[s, o] = A[s, o] \cup \{r\}$
 - $(\forall x \in S')(\forall y \in O' \{o\}) [A'[x, y] = A[x, y]]$
 - $(\forall x \in S' \{s\})(\forall y \in O') [A'[x, y] = A[x, y]]$

Delete Right

- Precondition: $s \in S$, $o \in O$
- Primitive command: **delete** *r* **from** *A*[*s*, *o*]
- Postconditions:
 - S' = S, O' = O
 - $A'[s, o] = A[s, o] \{r\}$
 - $(\forall x \in S')(\forall y \in O' \{o\}) [A'[x, y] = A[x, y]]$
 - $(\forall x \in S' \{s\})(\forall y \in O') [A'[x, y] = A[x, y]]$

Destroy Subject

- Precondition: $s \in S$
- Primitive command: **destroy subject** *s*
- Postconditions:
 - $S' = S \{ s \}, O' = O \{ s \}$
 - $(\forall y \in O') [A'[s, y] = \emptyset], (\forall x \in S') [A'[x, s] = \emptyset]$
 - $(\forall x \in S')(\forall y \in O') [A'[x, y] = A[x, y]]$

Destroy Object

- Precondition: $o \in O$
- Primitive command: destroy object o
- Postconditions:
 - $S' = S, O' = O \{o\}$
 - $(\forall x \in S') [A'[x, o] = \emptyset]$
 - $(\forall x \in S')(\forall y \in O') [A'[x, y] = A[x, y]]$

Creating File

Process p creates file f with r and w permission command create file(p, f) create object f; enter own into A[p, f]; enter r into A[p, f]; enter w into A[p, f];

Mono-Operational Commands

- Make process p the owner of file g
 command make owner(p, g)
 enter own into A[p, g];
 end
- Mono-operational command
 - Single primitive operation in this command

Conditional Commands

- Mono-conditional command
 - Single condition in this command

Multiple Conditions

Let p give q r and w rights over f, if p owns f and p has c rights over q command grant • read • file • 2(p, f, q)
if own in A[p, f] and c in A[p, q]
then
enter r into A[q, f];
enter w into A[q, f];

end

Copy Flag and Right

- Allows possessor to give rights to another
- Often attached to a right (called a *flag*), so only applies to that right
 - *r* is read right that cannot be copied
 - *rc* is read right that can be copied
- Is copy flag copied when giving *r* rights?
 - Depends on model, instantiation of model

Own Right

- Usually allows possessor to change entries in ACM column
 - So owner of object can add, delete rights for others
 - May depend on what system allows
 - Can't give rights to specific (set of) users
 - Can't pass copy flag to specific (set of) users

Attenuation of Privilege

- Principle says you can't increase your rights, or give rights you do not possess
 - Restricts addition of rights within a system
 - Usually *ignored* for owner
 - Why? Owner gives herself rights, gives them to others, deletes her rights.

What Is "Secure"?

- Adding a generic right r where there was not one is "leaking"
 - In what follows, a right leaks if it was not present *initially*
 - Alternately: not present *in the previous state* (not discussed here)
- If a system *S*, beginning in initial state *s*₀, cannot leak right *r*, it is *safe* with respect to the right *r*
 - Otherwise it is called *unsafe with respect to the right r*

Safety Question and Basic Results

- Is there an algorithm for determining whether a protection system *S* with initial state *s*₀ is safe with respect to a generic right *r*?
 - Here, "safe" = "secure" for an abstract model
- Mono-operational systems: yes, there is such an algorithm
- General systems: no, there is no such algorithm
 - Proof: reduce the halting problem to the safety question
 - Proved by Harrison, Ruzzo, and Ullman; often called the HRU result
 - Says *nothing* about particular classes of systems; this is a generic result

Mono-Operational Commands

- Answer: yes
- Sketch of proof:

Consider minimal sequence of commands $c_1, ..., c_k$ to leak the right.

- Can omit delete, destroy
- Can merge all creates into one

Worst case: insert every right into every entry; with *s* subjects and *o* objects initially, and *n* rights, upper bound is $k \le n(s+1)(o+1)$