

# Intrusion Detection

ECS 153 Spring Quarter 2021

Module 20

# Principles of Intrusion Detection

- Characteristics of systems not under attack
  - User, process actions conform to statistically predictable pattern
  - User, process actions do not include sequences of actions that subvert the security policy
  - Process actions correspond to a set of specifications describing what the processes are allowed to do
- Systems under attack do not meet at least one of these

# Goals of Intrusion Detection Systems

- Detect wide variety of intrusions
  - Previously known and unknown attacks
  - Suggests need to learn/adapt to new attacks or changes in behavior
- Detect intrusions in timely fashion
  - May need to be real-time, especially when system responds to intrusion
    - Problem: analyzing commands may impact response time of system
  - May suffice to report intrusion occurred a few minutes or hours ago

# Goals of Intrusion Detection Systems

- Present analysis in simple, easy-to-understand format
  - Ideally a binary indicator
  - Usually more complex, allowing analyst to examine suspected attack
  - User interface critical, especially when monitoring many systems
- Be accurate
  - Minimize false positives, false negatives
  - Minimize time spent verifying attacks, looking for them

# Models of Intrusion Detection

- Anomaly detection
  - What is usual, is known
  - What is unusual, is bad
- Misuse detection
  - What is bad, is known
  - What is not bad, is good
- Specification-based detection
  - What is good, is known
  - What is not good, is bad

# IDS Architecture

- Basically, a sophisticated audit system
  - *Agent* like logger; it gathers data for analysis
  - *Director* like analyzer; it analyzes data obtained from the agents according to its internal rules
  - *Notifier* obtains results from director, and takes some action
    - May simply notify security officer
    - May reconfigure agents, director to alter collection, analysis methods
    - May activate response mechanism

# Agents

- Obtains information and sends to director
- May put information into another form
  - Preprocessing of records to extract relevant parts
- May delete unneeded information
- Director may request agent send other information

# Example

- IDS uses failed login attempts in its analysis
- Agent scans login log every 5 minutes, sends director for each new login attempt:
  - Time of failed login
  - Account name and entered password
- Director requests all records of login (failed or not) for particular user
  - Suspecting a brute-force cracking attempt



# Host-Based Agent

- Obtain information from logs
  - May use many logs as sources
  - May be security-related or not
  - May be virtual logs if agent is part of the kernel
    - Very non-portable
- Agent generates its information
  - Scans information needed by IDS, turns it into equivalent of log record
  - Typically, check policy; may be very complex

# Network-Based Agents

- Detects network-oriented attacks
  - Denial of service attack introduced by flooding a network
- Monitor traffic for a large number of hosts
- Examine the contents of the traffic itself
- Agent must have same view of traffic as destination
  - TTL tricks, fragmentation may obscure this
- End-to-end encryption defeats content monitoring
  - Not traffic analysis, though

# Network Issues

- Network architecture dictates agent placement
  - Ethernet or broadcast medium: one agent per subnet
  - Point-to-point medium: one agent per connection, or agent at distribution/routing point
- Focus is usually on intruders entering network
  - If few entry points, place network agents behind them
  - Does not help if inside attacks to be monitored

# Aggregation of Information

- Agents produce information at multiple layers of abstraction
  - Application-monitoring agents provide one view (usually one line) of an event
  - System-monitoring agents provide a different view (usually many lines) of an event
  - Network-monitoring agents provide yet another view (involving many network packets) of an event

# Director

- Reduces information from agents
  - Eliminates unnecessary, redundant records
- Analyzes remaining information to determine if attack under way
  - Analysis engine can use a number of techniques, discussed before, to do this
- Usually run on separate system
  - Does not impact performance of monitored systems
  - Rules, profiles not available to ordinary users

# Example

- Jane logs in to perform system maintenance during the day
- She logs in at night to write reports
- One night she begins recompiling the kernel
- Agent #1 reports logins and logouts
- Agent #2 reports commands executed
  - Neither agent spots discrepancy
  - Director correlates log, spots it at once

# Adaptive Directors

- Modify profiles, rule sets to adapt their analysis to changes in system
  - Usually use machine learning or planning to determine how to do this
- Example: use neural nets to analyze logs
  - Network adapted to users' behavior over time
  - Used learning techniques to improve classification of events as anomalous
    - Reduced number of false alarms

# Notifier

- Accepts information from director
- Takes appropriate action
  - Notify system security officer
  - Respond to attack
- Often GUIs
  - Well-designed ones use visualization to convey information