## Outline for April 21, 2005

## 1. Policy

- a. Policy languages: high level, low level
- 2. Bell-LaPadula Model (security classifications only)
  - a. Go through security clearance, classification
  - b. Describe simple security condition (no reads up), \*-property (no writes down), discretionary security property
  - c. State Basic Security Theorem: if it's secure and transformations follow these rules, it's still secure
- 3. Bell-LaPadula Model (security levels)
  - a. Go through security clearance, categories, levels
- 4. Lattice models
  - a. Poset,  $\leq$  the relation
  - b. Reflexive, antisymmetric, transitive
  - c. Greatest lower bound, least upper bound
  - d. Example with complex numbers
- 5. Bell-LaPadula Model
  - a. Apply lattice work
    - i. Set of classes SC is a partially ordered set under relation ≤ with GLB (greatest lower bound), LUB (least upper bound) operators
    - ii. Note: is reflexive, transitive, antisymmetric
    - iii. Examples: (A, C)  $\leq$  (A', C') iff A  $\leq$  A' and C  $\subseteq$  C'; LUB((A, C), (A', C')) = (max(A, A'), C  $\cup$  C'), GLB((A, C), (A', C')) = (min(A, A'), C  $\cap$  C')
  - b. Describe simple security condition (no reads up), \*-property (no writes down), discretionary security property
  - c. State Basic Security Theorem: if it's secure and transformations follow these rules, it's still secure
  - d. Maximum, current security level
- 6. Example: DG/UX UNIX
  - a. Labels and regions
  - b. Multilevel directories
  - c. File object labels
  - d. MAC tuples
- 7. BLP: formally
  - a. Elements of system:  $s_i$  subjects,  $o_i$  objects
  - b. State space  $V = B \times M \times F \times H$  where:
    - *B* set of current accesses (i.e., access modes each subject has currently to each object);
    - *M* access permission matrix;

F consists of 3 functions:  $f_s$  is security level associated with each subject,  $f_o$  security level associated with each object, and  $f_c$  current security level for each subject

*H* hierarchy of system objects, functions *h*:  $O \rightarrow P(O)$  with two properties:

If  $o_i \neq o_j$ , then  $h(o_i) \cap h(o_j) = \emptyset$ 

There is no set  $\{o_1, ..., o_k\} \subseteq O$  such that for each  $i, o_{i+1} \in h(o_i)$  and  $o_{k+1} = o_1$ .

- c. Set of requests is *R*
- d. Set of decisions is D
- e.  $W \subseteq R \times D \times V \times V$  is motion from one state to another.
- f. System  $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0) \subseteq X \times Y \times Z$  such that  $(x, y, z) \in \Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$  iff  $(x_t, y_t, z_t, z_{t-1}) \in W$  for each  $i \in T$ ; latter is an action of system
- g. Theorem:  $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$  satisfies the simple security property for any initial state  $z_0$  that satisfies the simple security property iff *W* satisfies the following conditions for each action  $(r_i, d_i, (b', m', f', h'), (b, m, f, h))$ :

- i. each  $(s, o, x) \in b' b$  satisfies the simple security condition relative to f' (i.e., x is not read, or x is read and  $f_s(s)$  dominates  $f_o(o)$ )
- ii. if  $(s, o, x) \in b$  does not satisfy the simple security condition relative to f', then  $(s, o, x) \notin b'$
- h. Theorem:  $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$  satisfies the \*-property relative to  $S' \subseteq S$ , for any initial state  $z_0$  that satisfies the \*property relative to S' iff W satisfies the following conditions for each  $(r_i, d_i, (b', m', f', h'), (b, m, f, h))$ :
  - i. for each  $s \in S'$ , any  $(s, o, x) \in b' b$  satisfies the \*-property with respect to f'
  - ii. for each  $s \in S'$ , if  $(s, o, x) \in b$  does not satisfy the \*-property with respect to f', then  $(s, o, x) \notin b'$
- i. Theorem:  $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$  satisfies the ds-property iff the initial state  $z_0$  satisfies the ds-property and *W* satisfies the following conditions for each action  $(r_i, d_i, (b', m', f', h'), (b, m, f, h))$ :
  - i. if  $(s, o, x) \in b' b$ , then  $x \in m'[s, o]$ ;
  - ii. if  $(s, o, x) \in b$  and  $x \in m'[s, o]$  then  $(s, o, x) \notin b'$
- j. Basic Security Theorem: A system  $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$  is secure iff  $z_0$  is a secure state and W satisfies the conditions of the above three theorems for each action.
- 8. BLP: formally
  - a. Define ssc-preserving, \*-property-preserving, ds-property-preserving
  - b. Define relation  $W(\omega)$
  - c. Show conditions under which rules are ssc-preserving, \*-property-preserving, ds-property-preserving
  - d. Show when adding a state preserves those properties
  - e. Example instantiation: get-read for Multics
- 9. Tranquility
  - a. Strong tranquility
  - b. Weak tranquility
- 10. System Z and the controversy