ECS 235B, Lecture 8

January 25, 2019

Example: Trusted Solaris

- Provides mandatory access controls
 - Security level represented by *sensitivity label*
 - Least upper bound of all sensitivity labels of a subject called *clearance*
 - Default labels ADMIN_HIGH (dominates any other label) and ADMIN_LOW (dominated by any other label)
- S has controlling user U_s
 - S_L sensitivity label of subject
 - *privileged*(*S*, *P*) true if *S* can override or bypass part of security policy *P*
 - asserted (S, P) true if S is doing so

Rules

- C_L clearance of S, S_L sensitivity label of S, U_S controlling user of S, and O_L sensitivity label of O
- 1. If $\neg privileged(S, "change S_L")$, then no sequence of operations can change S_L to a value that it has not previously assumed
- 2. If \neg *privileged*(*S*, "change *S*_{*L*}"), then \neg *asserted*(*S*, "change *S*_{*L*}")
- 3. If $\neg privileged(S, "change S_L")$, then no value of S_L can be outside the clearance of U_S
- For all subjects S, named objects O, if ¬privileged(S, "change O_L"), then no sequence of operations can change O_L to a value that it has not previously assumed

Rules (con't)

 C_L clearance of S, S_L sensitivity label of S, U_S controlling user of S, and O_L sensitivity label of O

- For all subjects S, named objects O, if ¬privileged(S, "override O's mandatory read access control"), then read access to O is granted only if S_L dom O_L
 - Instantiation of simple security condition
- For all subjects S, named objects O, if ¬privileged(S, "override O's mandatory write access control"), then write access to O is granted only if O_L dom S_L and C_L dom O_L
 - Instantiation of *-property

Initial Assignment of Labels

- Each account is assigned a label range [clearance, minimum]
- On login, Trusted Solaris determines if the session is single-level
 - If clearance = minimum, single level and session gets that label
 - If not, multi-level; user asked to specify clearance for session
 - Must be in the label range
 - In multi-level session, can change to any label in the range of the session clearance to the minimum

Writing

- Allowed when subject, object labels are the same or file is in downgraded directory D with sensitivity label D_L and all the following hold:
 - $S_L dom D_L$
 - S has discretionary read, search access to D
 - $O_L dom S_L and O_L \neq S_L$
 - S has discretionary write access to O
 - $C_L dom O_L$
- Note: subject cannot read object

Directory Problem

- Process *p* at MAC_A tries to create file */tmp/x*
- /tmp/x exists but has MAC label MAC_B
 - Assume MAC_B dom MAC_A
- Create fails
 - Now *p* knows a file named *x* with a higher label exists
- Fix: only programs with same MAC label as directory can create files in the directory
 - Now compilation won't work, mail can't be delivered

Multilevel Directory

- Directory with a set of subdirectories, one per label
 - Not normally visible to user
 - p creating /tmp/x actually creates /tmp/d/x where d is directory corresponding to MAC_A
 - All p's references to /tmp go to /tmp/d
- p cd's to /tmp
 - System call stat(".", &buf) returns information about /tmp/d
 - System call mldstat(".", &buf) returns information about/tmp

Labeled Zones

- Used in Trusted Solaris Extensions, various flavors of Linux
- Zone: virtual environment tied to a unique label
 - Each process can only access objects in its zone
- Global zone encompasses everything on system
 - Its label is ADMIN_HIGH
 - Only system administrators can access this zone
- Each zone has a unique root directory
 - All objects within the zone have that zone's label
 - Each zone has a unique label

More about Zones

- Can import (mount) file systems from other zones provided:
 - If importing *read-only*, importing zone's label must dominate imported zone's label
 - If importing *read-write*, importing zone's label must equal imported zone's label
 - So the zones are the same; import unnecessary
 - Labels checked at time of import
- Objects in imported file system retain their labels



- $L_1 dom L_2$ •
- $L_3 dom L_2$ •
- Process in L_1 can read any file in the export directory of L_2 (assuming discretionary permissions allow it)
- L_1 , L_3 disjoint •
 - Do not share any • files
- System directories • imported from global zone, at ADMIN_LOW
 - So can only be read •

Formal Model Definitions

- S subjects, O objects, P rights
 - Defined rights: <u>r</u> read, <u>a</u> write, <u>w</u> read/write, <u>e</u> empty
- *M* set of possible access control matrices
- C set of clearances/classifications, K set of categories, L = C × K set of security levels
- $F = \{ (f_s, f_o, f_c) \}$
 - *f_s(s)* maximum security level of subject *s*
 - *f_c(s)* current security level of subject *s*
 - $f_o(o)$ security level of object o

More Definitions

- Hierarchy functions $H: O \rightarrow P(O)$
- Requirements
 - 1. $o_i \neq o_j \Longrightarrow h(o_i) \cap h(o_j) = \emptyset$
 - 2. There is no set { o_1 , ..., o_k } $\subseteq O$ such that for i = 1, ..., k, $o_{i+1} \in h(o_i)$ and $o_{k+1} = o_1$.
- Example
 - Tree hierarchy; take *h*(*o*) to be the set of children of *o*
 - No two objects have any common children (#1)
 - There are no loops in the tree (#2)

States and Requests

- V set of states
 - Each state is (*b*, *m*, *f*, *h*)
 - *b* is like *m*, but excludes rights not allowed by *f*
- *R* set of requests for access
- D set of outcomes
 - <u>y</u> allowed, <u>n</u> not allowed, <u>i</u> illegal, <u>o</u> error
- W set of actions of the system
 - $W \subseteq R \times D \times V \times V$

History

- $X = R^N$ set of sequences of requests
- $Y = D^N$ set of sequences of decisions
- $Z = V^N$ set of sequences of states
- Interpretation
 - At time t ∈ N, system is in state z_{t-1} ∈ V; request x_t ∈ R causes system to make decision y_t ∈ D, transitioning the system into a (possibly new) state z_t ∈ V
- System representation: $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0) \in X \times Y \times Z$
 - $(x, y, z) \in \Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$ iff $(x_t, y_t, z_{t-1}, z_t) \in W$ for all t
 - (*x*, *y*, *z*) called an *appearance* of $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$

Example

- $S = \{ s \}, O = \{ o \}, P = \{ \underline{r}, \underline{w} \}$
- *C* = { High, Low }, *K* = { All }
- For every $f \in F$, either $f_c(s) = (\text{High}, \{ All \}) \text{ or } f_c(s) = (Low, \{ All \})$
- Initial State:
 - $b_1 = \{ (s, o, \underline{r}) \}, m_1 \in M$ gives *s* read access over *o*, and for $f_1 \in F, f_{c,1}(s) = (\text{High}, \{AII\}), f_{o,1}(o) = (Low, \{AII\})$
 - Call this state $v_0 = (b_1, m_1, f_1, h_1) \in V$.

First Transition

- Now suppose in state v_0 : $S = \{ s, s' \}$
- Suppose $f_{s,1}(s') =$ (Low, {All}), $m_1 \in M$ gives s read access over o and s' write access to o
- As *s*' not written to *o*, *b*₁ = { (*s*, *o*, <u>r</u>) }
- $z_0 = v_0$; if s' requests r_1 to write to o:
 - System decides $d_1 = \underline{y}$ (as m_1 gives it that right, and $f_{s,1}(s') = f_o(o)$
 - New state $v_1 = (b_2, m_1, f_1, h_1) \in V$
 - $b_2 = \{ (s, o, \underline{r}), (s', o, \underline{w}) \}$
 - Here, $x = (r_1), y = (\underline{y}), z = (v_0, v_1)$

Second Transition

- Current state $v_1 = (b_2, m_1, f_1, h_1) \in V$
 - $b_2 = \{ (s, o, \underline{r}), (s', o, \underline{w}) \}$
 - $f_{c,1}(s) = (\text{High}, \{ \text{All} \}), f_{o,1}(o) = (\text{Low}, \{ \text{All} \})$
- *s* requests *r*₂ to write to *o*:
 - System decides $d_2 = \underline{n} (as f_{c,1}(s) dom f_{o,1}(o))$
 - New state $v_2 = (b_2, m_1, f_1, h_1) \in V$
 - $b_2 = \{ (s, o, \underline{r}), (s', o, \underline{w}) \}$
 - So, $x = (r_1, r_2), y = (\underline{y}, \underline{n}), z = (v_0, v_1, v_2)$, where $v_2 = v_1$

Basic Security Theorem

- Define action, secure formally
 - Using a bit of foreshadowing for "secure"
- Restate properties formally
 - Simple security condition
 - *-property
 - Discretionary security property
- State conditions for properties to hold
- State Basic Security Theorem

Action

- A request and decision that causes the system to move from one state to another
 - Final state may be the same as initial state
- $(r, d, v, v') \in R \times D \times V \times V$ is an *action* of $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$ iff there is an

 $(x, y, z) \in \Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$ and a $t \in N$ such that $(r, d, v, v') = (x_t, y_t, z_t, z_{t-1})$

- Request r made when system in state v'; decision d moves system into (possibly the same) state v
- Correspondence with (x_t, y_t, z_t, z_{t-1}) makes states, requests, part of a sequence