

ECS 235B, Lecture 9

January 28, 2019

Example

- $S = \{ s \}, O = \{ o \}, P = \{ \underline{r}, \underline{w} \}$
- $C = \{ \text{High}, \text{Low} \}, K = \{ \text{All} \}$
- For every $f \in F$, either $f_c(s) = (\text{High}, \{ \text{All} \})$ or $f_c(s) = (\text{Low}, \{ \text{All} \})$
- Initial State:
 - $b_1 = \{ (s, o, \underline{r}) \}, m_1 \in M$ gives s read access over o , and for $f_1 \in F, f_{c,1}(s) = (\text{High}, \{ \text{All} \}), f_{o,1}(o) = (\text{Low}, \{ \text{All} \})$
 - Call this state $v_0 = (b_1, m_1, f_1, h_1) \in V$.

First Transition

- Now suppose in state v_0 : $S = \{ s, s' \}$
- Suppose $f_{s,1}(s') = (\text{Low}, \{\text{All}\})$, $m_1 \in M$ gives s read access over o and s' write access to o
- As s' not written to o , $b_1 = \{ (s, o, \underline{r}) \}$
- $z_0 = v_0$; if s' requests r_1 to write to o :
 - System decides $d_1 = \underline{y}$ (as m_1 gives it that right, and $f_{s,1}(s') = f_o(o)$)
 - New state $v_1 = (b_2, m_1, f_1, h_1) \in V$
 - $b_2 = \{ (s, o, \underline{r}), (s', o, \underline{w}) \}$
 - Here, $x = (r_1)$, $y = (\underline{y})$, $z = (v_0, v_1)$

Second Transition

- Current state $v_1 = (b_2, m_1, f_1, h_1) \in V$
 - $b_2 = \{ (s, o, \underline{r}), (s', o, \underline{w}) \}$
 - $f_{c,1}(s) = (\text{High}, \{ \text{All} \}), f_{o,1}(o) = (\text{Low}, \{ \text{All} \})$
- s requests r_2 to write to o :
 - System decides $d_2 = \underline{n}$ (as $f_{c,1}(s) \text{ dom } f_{o,1}(o)$)
 - New state $v_2 = (b_2, m_1, f_1, h_1) \in V$
 - $b_2 = \{ (s, o, \underline{r}), (s', o, \underline{w}) \}$
 - So, $x = (r_1, r_2), y = (\underline{y}, \underline{n}), z = (v_0, v_1, v_2)$, where $v_2 = v_1$

Basic Security Theorem

- Define action, secure formally
 - Using a bit of foreshadowing for “secure”
- Restate properties formally
 - Simple security condition
 - *-property
 - Discretionary security property
- State conditions for properties to hold
- State Basic Security Theorem

Action

- A request and decision that causes the system to move from one state to another
 - Final state may be the same as initial state
- $(r, d, v, v') \in R \times D \times V \times V$ is an *action* of $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$ iff there is an $(x, y, z) \in \Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$ and a $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(r, d, v, v') = (x_t, y_t, z_t, z_{t-1})$
 - Request r made when system in state v' ; decision d moves system into (possibly the same) state v
 - Correspondence with (x_t, y_t, z_t, z_{t-1}) makes states, requests, part of a sequence

Simple Security Condition

- $(s, o, p) \in S \times O \times P$ satisfies the simple security condition relative to f (written *ssc rel f*) iff one of the following holds:
 1. $p = \underline{e}$ or $p = \underline{a}$
 2. $p = \underline{r}$ or $p = \underline{w}$ and $f_s(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o)$
- Holds vacuously if rights do not involve reading
- If all elements of b satisfy *ssc rel f*, then state satisfies simple security condition
- If all states satisfy simple security condition, system satisfies simple security condition

Necessary and Sufficient

- $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$ satisfies the simple security condition for any secure state z_0 iff for every action $(r, d, (b, m, f, h), (b', m', f', h'))$, W satisfies
 - Every $(s, o, p) \in b - b'$ satisfies *ssc rel f*
 - Every $(s, o, p) \in b'$ that does not satisfy *ssc rel f* is not in b
- Note: “secure” means z_0 satisfies *ssc rel f*
- First says every (s, o, p) added satisfies *ssc rel f*; second says any (s, o, p) in b' that does not satisfy *ssc rel f* is deleted

*-Property

- $b(s: p_1, \dots, p_n)$ set of all objects that s has p_1, \dots, p_n access to
- State (b, m, f, h) satisfies the *-property iff for each $s \in S$ the following hold:
 1. $b(s: \underline{a}) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow [\forall o \in b(s: \underline{a}) [f_o(o) \text{ dom } f_c(s)]]$
 2. $b(s: \underline{w}) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow [\forall o \in b(s: \underline{w}) [f_o(o) = f_c(s)]]$
 3. $b(s: \underline{r}) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow [\forall o \in b(s: \underline{r}) [f_c(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o)]]$
- Idea: for writing, object dominates subject; for reading, subject dominates object

*-Property

- If all states satisfy simple security condition, system satisfies simple security condition
- If a subset S' of subjects satisfy *-property, then *-property satisfied relative to $S' \subseteq S$
- Note: tempting to conclude that *-property includes simple security condition, but this is false
 - See condition placed on w right for each

Necessary and Sufficient

- $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$ satisfies the *-property relative to $S' \subseteq S$ for any secure state z_0 iff for every action $(r, d, (b, m, f, h), (b', m', f', h'))$, W satisfies the following for every $s \in S'$
 - Every $(s, o, p) \in b - b'$ satisfies the *-property relative to S'
 - Every $(s, o, p) \in b'$ that does not satisfy the *-property relative to S' is not in b
- Note: “secure” means z_0 satisfies *-property relative to S'
- First says every (s, o, p) added satisfies the *-property relative to S' ; second says any (s, o, p) in b' that does not satisfy the *-property relative to S' is deleted

Discretionary Security Property

- State (b, m, f, h) satisfies the discretionary security property iff, for each $(s, o, p) \in b$, then $p \in m[s, o]$
- Idea: if s can read o , then it must have rights to do so in the access control matrix m
- This is the discretionary access control part of the model
 - The other two properties are the mandatory access control parts of the model

Necessary and Sufficient

- $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$ satisfies the ds-property for any secure state z_0 iff, for every action $(r, d, (b, m, f, h), (b', m', f', h'))$, W satisfies:
 - Every $(s, o, p) \in b - b'$ satisfies the ds-property
 - Every $(s, o, p) \in b'$ that does not satisfy the ds-property is not in b
- Note: “secure” means z_0 satisfies ds-property
- First says every (s, o, p) added satisfies the ds-property; second says any (s, o, p) in b' that does not satisfy the *-property is deleted

Secure

- A system is secure iff it satisfies:
 - Simple security condition
 - *-property
 - Discretionary security property
- A state meeting these three properties is also said to be secure

Basic Security Theorem

- $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$ is a secure system if z_0 is a secure state and W satisfies the conditions for the preceding three theorems
 - The theorems are on the slides titled “Necessary and Sufficient”

Rule

- $\rho: R \times V \rightarrow D \times V$
- Takes a state and a request, returns a decision and a (possibly new) state
- Rule ρ *ssc-preserving* if for all $(r, v) \in R \times V$ and v satisfying *ssc rel f*, $\rho(r, v) = (d, v')$ means that v' satisfies *ssc rel f'*.
 - Similar definitions for *-property, ds-property
 - If rule meets all 3 conditions, it is *security-preserving*

Unambiguous Rule Selection

- Problem: multiple rules may apply to a request in a state
 - if two rules act on a read request in state v ...
- Solution: define relation $W(\omega)$ for a set of rules $\omega = \{ \rho_1, \dots, \rho_m \}$ such that a state $(r, d, v, v') \in W(\omega)$ iff either
 - $d = \underline{j}$; or
 - for exactly one integer j , $\rho_j(r, v) = (d, v')$
- Either request is illegal, or only one rule applies

Rules Preserving SSC

- Let ω be set of *ssc*-preserving rules. Let state z_0 satisfy simple security condition. Then $\Sigma(R, D, W(\omega), z_0)$ satisfies simple security condition

Proof: by contradiction.

- Choose $(x, y, z) \in \Sigma(R, D, W(\omega), z_0)$ as state not satisfying simple security condition; then choose $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that (x_t, y_t, z_t) is first appearance not meeting simple security condition
- As $(x_t, y_t, z_t, z_{t-1}) \in W(\omega)$, there is unique rule $\rho \in \omega$ such that $\rho(x_t, z_{t-1}) = (y_t, z_t)$ and $y_t \neq \dot{!}$.
- As ρ *ssc*-preserving, and z_{t-1} satisfies simple security condition, then z_t meets simple security condition, contradiction.

Adding States Preserving SSC

- Let $v = (b, m, f, h)$ satisfy simple security condition. Let $(s, o, p) \notin b$, $b' = b \cup \{ (s, o, p) \}$, and $v' = (b', m, f, h)$. Then v' satisfies simple security condition iff:
 1. Either $p = \underline{e}$ or $p = \underline{a}$; or
 2. Either $p = \underline{r}$ or $p = \underline{w}$, and $f_c(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o)$

Proof:

1. Immediate from definition of simple security condition and v' satisfying $ssc \text{ rel } f$
2. v' satisfies simple security condition means $f_c(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o)$, and for converse, $(s, o, p) \in b'$ satisfies $ssc \text{ rel } f$, so v' satisfies simple security condition

Rules, States Preserving *-Property

- Let ω be set of *-property-preserving rules, state z_0 satisfies the *-property. Then $\Sigma(R, D, W(\omega), z_0)$ satisfies *-property
- Let $v = (b, m, f, h)$ satisfy *-property. Let $(s, o, p) \notin b$, $b' = b \cup \{(s, o, p)\}$, and $v' = (b', m, f, h)$. Then v' satisfies *-property iff one of the following holds:
 1. $p = \underline{a}$ and $f_o(o) \text{ dom } f_c(s)$
 2. $p = \underline{w}$ and $f_c(s) = f_o(o)$
 3. $p = \underline{r}$ and $f_c(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o)$

Rules, States Preserving ds-Property

- Let ω be set of ds-property-preserving rules, state z_0 satisfies ds-property. Then $\Sigma(R, D, W(\omega), z_0)$ satisfies ds-property
- Let $v = (b, m, f, h)$ satisfy ds-property. Let $(s, o, p) \notin b$, $b' = b \cup \{(s, o, p)\}$, and $v' = (b', m, f, h)$. Then v' satisfies ds-property iff $p \in m[s, o]$.

Combining

- Let ρ be a rule and $\rho(r, v) = (d, v')$, where $v = (b, m, f, h)$ and $v' = (b', m', f', h')$. Then:
 1. If $b' \subseteq b, f' = f$, and v satisfies the simple security condition, then v' satisfies the simple security condition
 2. If $b' \subseteq b, f' = f$, and v satisfies the *-property, then v' satisfies the *-property
 3. If $b' \subseteq b, m[s, o] \subseteq m'[s, o]$ for all $s \in S$ and $o \in O$, and v satisfies the ds-property, then v' satisfies the ds-property

Proof

1. Suppose v satisfies simple security property.

- a) $b' \subseteq b$ and $(s, o, \underline{r}) \in b'$ implies $(s, o, \underline{r}) \in b$
- b) $b' \subseteq b$ and $(s, o, \underline{w}) \in b'$ implies $(s, o, \underline{w}) \in b$
- c) So $f'_c(s) \text{ dom } f'_o(o)$
- d) But $f' = f$
- e) Hence $f'_c(s) \text{ dom } f'_o(o)$
- f) So v' satisfies simple security condition

2, 3 proved similarly

Example Instantiation: Multics

- 11 rules affect rights:
 - set to request, release access
 - set to give, remove access to different subject
 - set to create, reclassify objects
 - set to remove objects
 - set to change subject security level
- Set of “trusted” subjects $S_T \subseteq S$
 - *-property not enforced; subjects trusted not to violate it
- $\Delta(\rho)$ domain
 - determines if components of request are valid

get-read Rule

- Request $r = (get, s, o, \underline{r})$
 - s gets (requests) the right to read o
- Rule is $\rho_1(r, v)$:
 - if** $(r \neq \Delta(\rho_1))$ **then** $\rho_1(r, v) = (\underline{i}, v)$;
 - else if** $(f_s(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o) \text{ and } [s \in S_T \text{ or } f_c(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o)] \text{ and } r \in m[s, o])$
 - then** $\rho_1(r, v) = (y, (b \cup \{ (s, o, \underline{r}) \}, m, f, h))$;
 - else** $\rho_1(r, v) = (\underline{n}, v)$;

Security of Rule

- The get-read rule preserves the simple security condition, the *-property, and the ds-property

Proof:

- Let v satisfy all conditions. Let $\rho_1(r, v) = (d, v')$. If $v' = v$, result is trivial. So let $v' = (b \cup \{ (s_2, o, \underline{r}) \}, m, f, h)$.

Proof

- Consider the simple security condition.
 - From the choice of v' , either $b' - b = \emptyset$ or $\{ (s_2, o, \underline{r}) \}$
 - If $b' - b = \emptyset$, then $\{ (s_2, o, \underline{r}) \} \in b$, so $v = v'$, proving that v' satisfies the simple security condition.
 - If $b' - b = \{ (s_2, o, \underline{r}) \}$, because the *get-read* rule requires that $f_c(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o)$, an earlier result says that v' satisfies the simple security condition.

Proof

- Consider the *-property.
 - Either $s_2 \in S_T$ or $f_c(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o)$ from the definition of *get-read*
 - If $s_2 \in S_T$, then s_2 is trusted, so *-property holds by definition of trusted and S_T .
 - If $f_c(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o)$, an earlier result says that v' satisfies the simple security condition.

Proof

- Consider the discretionary security property.
 - Conditions in the *get-read* rule require $\underline{r} \in m[s, o]$ and either $b' - b = \emptyset$ or $\{ (s_2, o, \underline{r}) \}$
 - If $b' - b = \emptyset$, then $\{ (s_2, o, \underline{r}) \} \in b$, so $v = v'$, proving that v' satisfies the simple security condition.
 - If $b' - b = \{ (s_2, o, \underline{r}) \}$, then $\{ (s_2, o, \underline{r}) \} \notin b$, an earlier result says that v' satisfies the ds-property.

give-read Rule

- Request $r = (s_1, \textit{give}, s_2, o, \underline{r})$
 - s_1 gives (request to give) s_2 the (discretionary) right to read o
 - Rule: can be done if giver can alter parent of object
 - If object or parent is root of hierarchy, special authorization required
- Useful definitions
 - $\textit{root}(o)$: root object of hierarchy h containing o
 - $\textit{parent}(o)$: parent of o in h (so $o \in h(\textit{parent}(o))$)
 - $\textit{canallow}(s, o, v)$: s specially authorized to grant access when object or parent of object is root of hierarchy
 - $m \wedge m[s, o] \leftarrow \underline{r}$: access control matrix m with \underline{r} added to $m[s, o]$

give-read Rule

- Rule is $\rho_6(r, v)$:
 - if** $(r \neq \Delta(\rho_6))$ **then** $\rho_6(r, v) = (\underline{j}, v)$;
 - else if** $([o \neq \text{root}(o)$ **and** $\text{parent}(o) \neq \text{root}(o)$ **and** $\text{parent}(o) \in b(s_1:\underline{w})]$ **or**
 $[\text{parent}(o) = \text{root}(o)$ **and** $\text{canallow}(s_1, o, v)$] **or**
 $[o = \text{root}(o)$ **and** $\text{canallow}(s_1, o, v)$])
 - then** $\rho_6(r, v) = (y, (b, m \wedge m[s_2, o] \leftarrow \underline{r}, f, h))$;
 - else** $\rho_1(r, v) = (\underline{n}, v)$;

Security of Rule

- The *give-read* rule preserves the simple security condition, the *-property, and the ds-property
 - Proof: Let v satisfy all conditions. Let $\rho_1(r, v) = (d, v')$. If $v' = v$, result is trivial. So let $v' = (b, m[s_2, o] \leftarrow \underline{r}, f, h)$. So $b' = b, f' = f, m[x, y] = m'[x, y]$ for all $x \in S$ and $y \in O$ such that $x \neq s$ and $y \neq o$, and $m[s, o] \subseteq m'[s, o]$. Then by earlier result, v' satisfies the simple security condition, the *-property, and the ds-property.

Principle of Tranquility

- Raising object's security level
 - Information once available to some subjects is no longer available
 - Usually assume information has already been accessed, so this does nothing
- Lowering object's security level
 - The *declassification problem*
 - Essentially, a “write down” violating *-property
 - Solution: define set of trusted subjects that *sanitize* or remove sensitive information before security level lowered

Types of Tranquility

- Strong Tranquility
 - The clearances of subjects, and the classifications of objects, do not change during the lifetime of the system
- Weak Tranquility
 - The clearances of subjects, and the classifications of objects, do not change in a way that violates the simple security condition or the *-property during the lifetime of the system

Example: Trusted Solaris

- Security administrator can provide specific authorization for a user to change the MAC label of a file
 - “downgrade file label” authorization
 - “upgrade file label” authorization
- User requires additional authorization if not the owner of the file
 - “act as file owner” authorization

Principles of Declassification

- Principle of Semantic Consistency
 - As long as semantics of components that do not do declassification do not change, the components can be altered without affecting security
- Principle of Occlusion
 - A declassification operation cannot conceal an *improper* declassification
- Principle of Conservativity
 - Absent any declassification, the system is secure
- Principle of Monotonicity of Release
 - When declassification is performed in an authorized manner by authorized subjects, the system remains secure