ECS 235B Module 21
The Controversy and System Z

Controversy

- McLean:
  - "value of the BST is much overrated since there is a great deal more to security than it captures. Further, what is captured by the BST is so trivial that it is hard to imagine a realistic security model for which it does not hold."
  - Basis: given assumptions known to be non-secure, BST can prove a non-secure system to be secure

†-Property

- State (b, m, f, h) satisfies the †-property iff for each $s \in S$ the following hold:
  1. $b(s\text{: }\underline{a}) \neq \varnothing \Rightarrow [\forall o \in b(s\text{: }\underline{a})\ [\ f_c(s)\ dom\ f_o(o)\ ]\ ]$
  2. $b(s\text{: }\underline{w}) \neq \varnothing \Rightarrow [\forall o \in b(s\text{: }\underline{w})\ [\ f_o(o) = f_c(s)\ ]\ ]$
  3. $b(s\text{: }\underline{r}) \neq \varnothing \Rightarrow [\forall o \in b(s\text{: }\underline{r})\ [\ f_c(s)\ dom\ f_o(o)\ ]\ ]$
- Idea: for writing, subject dominates object; for reading, subject also dominates object
- Differs from *-property in that the mandatory condition for writing is reversed
  - For *-property, it's object dominates subject

Analogues

The following two theorems can be proved

- $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$ satisfies the †-property relative to $S' \subseteq S$ for any secure state z_0 iff for every action $(r, d, (b, m, f, h), (b', m', f', h'))$, W satisfies the following for every $s \in S'$
  - Every $(s, o, p) \in b - b'$ satisfies the †-property relative to S'
  - Every $(s, o, p) \in b'$ that does not satisfy the †-property relative to S' is not in b
- $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$ is a secure system if z_0 is a secure state and W satisfies the conditions for the simple security condition, the †-property, and the ds-property.

Problem

- This system is *clearly* non-secure!
    - Information flows from higher to lower because of the †-property

Discussion

- Role of Basic Security Theorem is to demonstrate that rules preserve security
- Key question: what is security?
  - Bell-LaPadula defines it in terms of 3 properties (simple security condition, *-property, discretionary security property)
  - Theorems are assertions about these properties
  - Rules describe changes to a *particular* system instantiating the model
  - Showing system is secure requires proving rules preserve these 3 properties

Rules and Model

- Nature of rules is irrelevant to model
- Model treats “security” as axiomatic
- Policy defines “security”
  - This instantiates the model
  - Policy reflects the requirements of the systems
- McLean’s definition differs from Bell-LaPadula
  - … and is not suitable for a confidentiality policy
- Analysts cannot prove “security” definition is appropriate through the model

System Z

- System supporting weak tranquility
- On *any* request, system downgrades *all* subjects and objects to lowest level and adds the requested access permission
  - Let initial state satisfy all 3 properties
  - Successive states also satisfy all 3 properties
- Clearly not secure
  - On first request, everyone can read everything

Reformulation of Secure Action

- Given state that satisfies the 3 properties, the action transforms the system into a state that satisfies these properties and eliminates any accesses present in the transformed state that would violate the property in the initial state, then the action is secure
- BST holds with these modified versions of the 3 properties

Reconsider System Z

- Initial state:
  - subject s, object o
  - C = {High, Low}, K = {All}
- Take:
  - $f_c(s)$ = (Low, {All}), f_o(o) = (High, {All})
  - $m[s, o]$ = { w }, and b = { (s, o, w) }.
- s requests r access to o
- Now:
  - $f'_o(o)$ = (Low, {All})
  - (s, o, r) $\in b'$, $m'[s, o]$ = {r, w}

Non-Secure System Z

- As (s, o, <u>r</u>) $\in b' - b$ and $f_o(o)$ *dom* $f_c(s)$, access added that was illegal in previous state
  - Under the new version of the Basic Security Theorem, System Z is not secure
  - Under the old version of the Basic Security Theorem, as $f'_c(s) = f'_o(o)$, System Z is secure

Response: What Is Modeling?

- Two types of models
  1. Abstract physical phenomenon to fundamental properties
  2. Begin with axioms and construct a structure to examine the effects of those axioms
- Bell-LaPadula Model developed as a model in the first sense
  - McLean assumes it was developed as a model in the second sense

Reconciling System Z

- Different definitions of security create different results
  - Under one (original definition in Bell-LaPadula Model), System Z is secure
  - Under other (McLean's definition), System Z is not secure